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Num mundo cada vez mais interligado pode-se afirmar que os eventos que se desenrolam de um lado do globo irão afectar o lado oposto. Abundam provas desta situação, tanto no mundo da economia, como nas relações internacionais. Neste ambiente, até uma superpotência, como os Estados Unidos da América, encontra-se limitado na sua busca de fazer valer a sua influência ao nível global, principalmente pois esse objectivo é idêntico ao de outros actores.

Um pequeno estado, como Portugal, com muito menos recursos e a braços com uma difícil situação económica, vê-se face a uma situação ainda mais complexa. Em virtude dessa situação, Portugal possui uma necessidade ainda mais premente de prever e conseguir lidar com as situações que possam surgir. A sua posição de maior fragilidade torna-o mais susceptível às ondas de choque que percorrem o sistema internacional como consequência de eventos distantes. Nesta situação, um pequeno estado tem a necessidade de aplicar a sua influência nos locais que lhe são de maior interesse, enquanto simultaneamente tenta prever e precaver-se contra eventos que possam limitar a sua liberdade de acção para implementar a sua Estratégia Nacional.

De modo a perceber como um pequeno estado define as suas prioridades, as organiza entre si e aplica o seu poder nacional para lhes dar resposta, este artigo aborda inicialmente os conceitos base de objectivo nacional, interesse nacional e poder nacional. Seguidamente propõe-se uma possível sistematização para uma escala de prioridade para os interesses nacionais e elaboram-se algumas considerações sobre a aplicação do poder nacional.
Defining priorities: Where to apply national power?

Rui Cordeiro de Azevedo

Abstract
In a world ever more interconnected, we can now truly say that what happens on one side of the globe will affect events on the other. Evidence of this abounds not only in examination of the world economy, but also in foreign affairs. However, even a superpower like the United States of America finds itself strained attempting to exert its influence worldwide, especially when other actors have the same goal.

A small country like Portugal, with even fewer resources and in the midst of a challenging economic situation, faces an even harder situation. As a result, Portugal must better predict and manage events because its weaker position makes it more fragile to distant events whose consequences ripple throughout the international system. In such a situation, a small country needs to exert its influence in locations that are more important to it and also predict events that might constrain its national strategy.

In order to understand how a small country defines its priorities, establishes priorities amongst them and applies its national power, this article discusses the concepts of national objective, national interest and national power. Having defined these concepts it proposes an approach to a prioritization system to national interests and considers the application of national power.
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Resumo
Num mundo cada vez mais interligado pode-se afirmar que os eventos que se desenrolam de um lado do globo irão afectar o lado oposto. Abundam provas desta situação, tanto no mundo da economia, como nas relações internacionais. Neste ambiente, até uma superpotência, como os Estados Unidos da América, encontra-se limitado na sua busca de fazer valer a sua influência ao nível global, principalmente pois esse objectivo é idêntico ao de outros actores.

Um pequeno estado, como Portugal, com muito menos recursos e a braços com uma difícil situação económica, vê-se face a uma situação ainda mais complexa. Em virtude dessa situação, Portugal possui uma necessidade ainda mais premente de prever e conseguir lidar com as situações que possam surgir. A sua posição de maior fragilidade torna-o mais susceptível às ondas de choque que percorrem o sistema internacional como consequência de eventos distantes. Nesta situação, um pequeno estado tem a necessidade de aplicar a sua influência nos locais que lhe são de maior interesse, enquanto simultaneamente tenta prever e precaver-se contra eventos que possam limitar a sua liberdade de acção para implementar a sua Estratégia Nacional.

De modo a perceber como um pequeno estado define as suas prioridades, as organiza entre si e aplica o seu poder nacional para lhes dar resposta, este artigo aborda inicialmente os conceitos base de objectivo nacional, interesse nacional e poder nacional. Seguidamente propõe-se uma possível sistematização para uma escala de prioridade para os interesses nacionais e elaboram-se algumas considerações sobre a aplicação do poder nacional.

Introduction

In 1999, Joseph S. Nye wrote an essay in Foreign Affairs in which he called for a redefinition of the United States national interests. The article commenced with a discussion of the intervention of Kosovo and the question “how should the United States define its interests in today’s world?” (Nye, 1999). This question is still pertinent, gaining perhaps even more importance if one considers the grave economic situation that the United States and many countries in the European Union are facing today. As João Marques de Almeida, asserted, “when there is less money, a better strategy is demanded” (Almeida, 2010: 81).

The sovereign debt crisis that has been recently affecting many countries limits their available resources, thereby impacting their ability to operate in the international system. Close examination reveals several examples throughout history where States and Empires collapsed under the weight of insurmountable external pressures to which they attempted to overcome until they exhausted their resources. One such example is the collapse the Portuguese Empire in the XVth Century, when the pressures of maintaining the network of forts in Northern Africa and India drained the kingdom of money and able men. A more contemporary example can be found in the case of the Soviet Union, whose fall was prompted by the strain of the arms race the fight for a global sphere of influence against an economically stronger opponent, the United States.

While the United States has today achieved a global status that far exceeds anything ever seen, they still face many of these same limitations. The strain caused by this decade's campaigns in Afghanistan and Iraq has had a severe impact on the country’s economy, amounting to over three trillion dollars to date (Stiglitz e Blimes, 2008). Further, its impact has not been limited to economic implications. The US armed forces were pushed to their limits, constraining their ability to respond to further solicitations, which will become evident in future altercations. Also affected was the international policing system, as evidenced by the expansion of NATO's realm of influence to include the entire globe, as well as the doubt raised over the legitimacy of the intervention in Iraq, which created a gap in the traditionally staunch Euro-Atlantic alliance. Some consequences remain to be seen, and the full extent of their repercussions may not be evident for years. However, the actions undertaken were deemed necessary in order to achieve an adequate conclusion for the involved nations.

As the only remaining superpower, the United States plays a different role than most other nation states. This does not mean, however, that other states do not have interests that they want to see protected or that they want to reach.

A small power, such as Portugal, has less power to exert than a hegemonic actor, such as the United States, however it still has national objectives that it intends to reach. Additionally, smaller nation states will usually be more influenced by events occurring on the international stage, like, for instance, economical crises. Faced with restrictions resulting from a limited sphere of influence, small nations have further need to prioritize their interests in order to best utilize their resources to respond to the actions of other, larger actors in the international scene while still reaching their national objectives. As such this theme gains greater relevance to a country such as Portugal that needs to optimize the use of its power.

The present article intends to answer the following questions: how does a small power define its priorities? How does it prioritize amongst them? Where does it apply its national power?

In order to answer these questions, we will first discuss the concepts referred to above, such as national objectives, national interests and national power. Having defined these concepts we will then propose an approach to a prioritization system to national interests, which will lead us to consider how to deal with differing scales of influence and interest.

---

1 It is hard to reach a consensual definition of “small power”, however for the present article we will consider that it is a state with “less responsibilities, less interests, less money and less canons” as opposed to the rest of the world powers (Gaspar, 2007:113). For the purpose of this article we will consider that small powers are those actors in international relations that don't have the capabilities to influence decisively the international system on their own.
On national objectives, national interests and national power

When studying a nation state’s national strategy, one first needs to consider its national objectives. These objectives are the guidelines by which the state conducts itself—the aspirations of the state. They can be characterized as being constant in their definition and in time (Cabral Couto, 1988: 64), usually appearing in the State’s Constitution. These objectives are the fundamental goals of the political entity that is the State and can be summed up by the two abstract concepts “Security” and “Social well being and Progress”. In certain cases, “Prestige” and “Imposition of Ideology” are useful additional concepts to consider, though they are generally considered to be less reliable indicators (Cabral Couto, 1988: 64). It can be proposed that these last two objectives are exhibited only after the first two requirements have been met and consolidated, freeing the resources to expand the State’s influence. Thus, as its status in the international arena grows, so will the weight of these last two factors in its national strategy. It should be noted that while the first two objectives are mainly defensive and inward looking, the second set is more aggressive and outward looking.

It is from the national objectives of a state that its national interests are derived, which can be defined as intermediate objectives that need to be achieved in order to reach the desired end result. These vary with international trends and conjecture, and form the basis of the State’s foreign policy. Despite contending that the concept of national interests is more or less open for debate, Nye presents the following definition: “in a democracy, the national interest is simply the set of shared priorities regarding relations with the rest of the world” (Nye, 1999). Thus, for the purpose of this article, we will consider that national interests are the intermediate goals in the international arena that a State determines it needs to reach in order to accomplish its national objectives.

At this juncture, it is useful to note that that there exists a difference between the Portuguese and the Anglo-Saxon Strategic Schools; in the Portuguese school, “objectivos nacionais” and “interesses nacionais” appear as close terms, often being used interchangeably (Cabral Couto, 1988: 64). A critique can be made regarding this peculiarity of the Portuguese School, as it removes some of the specificity in discussions of national strategy and national interests. The use of two distinct terms allows for a clearer distinction between the permanent goals of the State that are only altered in very specific situations that imply a fundamental change in the State and the intermediate goals, which are conjectural and as such are under constant revision. This distinction has several advantages, such as easing conceptual and theoretical discussions as well as allowing for clearer political documents. Note however that here we are only considering a clearer separation of the terms “objectivos nacionais” and “interesses nacionais”. The first one standing for the vital permanent objectives of the State, while the second are conjectural objectives that are midterm objectives needed to reach in order to reach the end goal.

In order to reach its national objectives, the State must use the resources available to it. These resources, both material and immaterial, constitute the “power” that the State possesses. Raymond Aron wrote that “power (…) is the capacity to do, produce or destroy”, defining it as “the capacity a political unit has to impose its will on others” (Aron, 1986: 99). Nye takes a slightly different approach, defining power as “the capacity of attaining our objectives or ends” (Nye, 2002: 70). From the Portuguese School one notable definition is that of Cabral Couto,

---

2 National Strategy can be defined as “the art of the dialectic of wills using force to resolve (…) conflicts” (Beaufre, 1998: 34) deriving from a certain State aiming at attaining a contested goal (such as supremacy in a geographical area). A synonym to this term is “Grand Strategy” (Dorff, 2001: 11).

3 In the case of Portugal they are presented in the 9th article of National Constitution under the title of “Fundamental Tasks of the State”. Of these tasks one can stress some such as: “To guarantee national independence and create the political, economic, social and cultural conditions that promote it” (art. 9.a), “to promote the well-being and quality of life of the people and real equality amongst the Portuguese, as well as the enforcement of their economic, social, cultural and environmental rights, through the transformation and modernization of economic and social structures” (art. 9.d) and “to protect and value the Portuguese people’s cultural heritage, to protect nature and the environment, to preserve natural resources and to ensure proper planning of the territory” (art. 9.e) (Assembleia da Republica, 2005:3).
which states that power is “the set of forces of any nature, moral or material, that a State can use
to support its strategy” (Cabral Couto, 1988: 241) Another similar definition, put forth by
Carvalho, extrapolates on the former definition by adding that “a State, or coalition of States,
can use [it] against an antagonist , aiming to impose its will, or to preserve its freedom of action,
in order to achieve its objectives” (Carvalho, 1986: 15). Despite their differences, all definitions
present power as the tool for a State to obtain its objectives.

National Power has several characteristics that define it (Cabral Couto, 1988: 40-42). The first
is its relativity, which refers to the fact that it can only be understood when taking into
consideration the power relations of the involved entities. It is situational and subjective, gaining
meaning and significance from the specific environment and circumstances of the occurrence, as
well as from the interpretation of other actors involved. It is personalized, because the inherent
individuality of State leaders will influence the application of the power. National power has no
convertibility - the strengths from which power is derived cannot be converted from one form to
another. It is multidimensional, as it is composed of multiple different sources. It is time bound,
only having meaning during a specific period of time. It is instrumental; power isn’t an end on
itself being only the mean. Last but not least power is morally neutral, its usage dependent on
those responsible for it.

National Power is difficult to measure, despite many attempts made by various authors. In the
past, the typical approach has been to study the potential power that a given State possessed.
Perhaps the most well known formula using this approach is the Cline Equation\(^4\). Regardless,
one constant in formulas and measurements is that they attempt to obtain a value from the
combination of the State’s tangible strengths (such as its military and economical capabilities)
and its intangible strengths (such as national will and the quality of its strategy). While the
former can usually be fairly precisely assessed, the latter can only be hypothesized, and often are
distorted by errors in judgment. One such example of misjudgment occurred during an appraisal
by the United States government on the ability of the Portuguese Government to sustain a
military campaign in its colony. They estimated that in six months the war would be over and
Portugal would be militarily defeated...It lasted fourteen years and ended only because of a
revolution on the mainland. This example provides us with two important insights regarding
the study of national power - firstly on the subjectivity of the appraisal of a country’s potential
and secondly on the importance of the immaterial factors of national power.

**A possible way to prioritize national interests**

No matter how one looks at national power, it stems from limited resources, thus its use needs
to be analyzed and evaluated in order to achieve maximum results. In the following part of the
article we will approach the prioritization of national interests in order to allow a better
allocation of this limited resource. This is of great interest to politicians, who need to optimally
manage their resources in order to achieve their goals.

In 1999, Carton and Perry developed a scale to classify and prioritize threats, which can easily
be applied to the study of national interests. Their scale was comprised of three distinct levels:
“A-Level” threats are those that directly endanger the survival of the State, “B-Level” are those
that affect the State’s interests without endangering its survival and “C-Level” are those that
may constrain the State’s security without directly affecting its interests (Carton & Perry,
1999:11). This scale can be compared with the one presented by Cabral Couto analyzing
national objectives, classifying them as being vital, important or secondary. The first level of
objectives, those considered vital, concerns those that are essential for the survival of the state,
and for which it will mobilize its full weight to achieve. The important objectives are those that

---

\(^4\) R. S. Cline proposed in 1975 that a State’s potential could be measured by the following equation: 
P_{ps}=(C+E+M)x(S+W),\text{ where }P\text{ is Perceived Potential, }C\text{ is Critical Mass (a measure obtained from the size of the territory and of the population), }E\text{ is the economical capacity, }M\text{ is the military capacity, }S\text{ is the value and coherency of the National Strategy and W is the National Will (Cabral Couto, 1988: 248-249).}
are relevant for the execution of national strategy without endangering the national security of the State, necessitating the allocation of proportional resources to achieve the desired end. Secondary objectives are those that may assist the implementation of national objectives without, however, endangering neither them nor the security of the State (Cabral Couto, 1988: 65-66).

The similarities between these two scales is immediately evident, however Cabral Couto further developed his scale, especially by taking into consideration the idea that objectives can be also defined by their timeline as ultimate, distant, intermediate or present; by their constancy, if they are permanent or variable; by the amplitude, if they are general or sectorial (Cabral Couto, 1988: 306-310).

If one analyzes national objectives through the lens of the above article, they can be characterized as vital, permanent and general. National interests, however can be further classified into distinct categories informed by the factors mentioned above. We think that two other factors should also be considered: associated risk and capability of action.

The discussion of associated risk refers to the probability of a given interest realistically being affected by the actions of another state. For instance, any one state theoretically presents a threat to the independence of another, however the current international situation makes it hard to believe that, for example, Spain would invade Portugal so the associated risk of such an event coming to pass is virtually nonexistent.

The capacity to influence the events surrounding these national interests must also be taken into consideration when trying to prioritize and optimally manage such interests. In this case, the concept can best be exemplified through the study of military tactics in terms of area of operations, area of influence and area of interest. The area of operation is the specific geographical area where the unit is acting; the area of influence is an area surrounding area of operations that the weapons of the unit can reach, thereby influencing events there, and the area of influence is an area where events that unfold within its perimeters may influence the situation of the unit but that the unit has no means of influencing. If we extrapolate upon these concepts to the level of the nation state, the area of operation would be its territory, where it has sovereignty; the area of influence would be the geographical area where it can exert pressure (on whatever level it deems necessary) to make other States comply; and the area of interest would be critical geographical areas whose importance derives from the resources there available (ex. the Middle East with petroleum) and the routes that cross that area (ex. maritime straights such as the Panama Canal or the Suez Canal). This distinction is important because while one can have some say over what happens in both its “area of operations” and “area of influence”, there will always be areas of interest that will be beyond reach (sometimes even if the said state is a superpower).

If one considers the aforementioned factors, a multidimensional scale to study and classify national interests begins to take shape. The first level would determine if the interest is vital, important or secondary. At that point, a more precise distinction could be made utilizing a timeline - if it is an ultimate, distant, intermediate or actual interest. Recognizing the role that time constraints play is important because the window of opportunity in which to take action must be taken into consideration. The third element factored in should be the scope of the interest, whether it is general or sector wise interest, with priority being given to those that are general, as more general interests obviously have a wider range of application and possibility of consequence. A fourth factor to take into account would be the risk associated with that interest. In order to achieve an accurate measurement of the risk associated with a given interest, one must first calculate the probability of a negative outcome, wherein the higher the risk, the higher the priority. Lastly, the possibility of the actor to influence the outcome should be considered, whether it be directly, indirectly or not at all. Analyzing and measuring national

---

5 This conclusion derives from the definition of threat as the product of a capacity by a will, and since the capacity exists one can never consider the will to be zero.

6 Here one can consider that for a superpower it would be the whole world and for a regional hegemon the region where it exerts its hegemony.
interests in such a way one would provide a list of priorities where the national objectives that are vital, actual, general, present a high risk and can (and must) be directly influenced would appear at one end of the spectrum and at the other end the secondary, ultimate, sectorial, low risk, non influenced interests.

A scale such as that proposed above would assist politicians establish an order of priorities, however it would need to frequently be checked and reevaluated, and cannot guarantee that there would be some overlap and ambiguity in the status of multiple interests, particularly at the lower end of the scale. It also must be understood that one cannot underestimate society’s pressure to change priorities on politicians, something that has been affected by the “CNN effect”.

In order to apply this scaling method to the national interests of Portugal one would need to first identify them. The first source that must be employed in order to determine the national objectives is the official documents of the nation.

The National Constitution is the principal document to be studied, where the previously mentioned national objectives may be found. In the Portuguese Constitution, they are found in the 9º Article. This article outlines the main objectives of the State, presenting a mix of vital, main and secondary objectives, which are derived from the main purpose of any State, to guarantee Security and Well Being. The interests defined there are both permanent and long term.

A secondary source utilized to find the national interests of Portugal is the National Defense Strategy Concept. This official document establishes guidelines where the government expresses what it sees as the main interests that need to be defended and identifies ground rules on how to achieve it. From the National Defense Strategy Concept of 2003 one can identify the following goal: the defense and securitization of the strategic space of permanent interest defined as the national territory, the circulation paths amongst the mainland and the islands in Azores and Madeira, the maritime and aerial area under Portuguese control and the Exclusive Economic Zone belonging to Portugal (Conselho de Ministros, 2003: 284). Also defined are the areas of strategic interest to Portugal which include the Euro-Atlantic Area, the States with which Portugal has borders, the region of the Maghreb, the South Atlantic (particularly Brasil), Portuguese speaking countries in Africa and Timor, countries where there is a large Portuguese community, countries or regions with historical and cultural ties to Portugal and countries of origin of the main migrant communities in Portugal (Conselho de Ministros, 2003: 284). These are the areas that are of vital interest to the State as well as the areas where Portugal has main interests, however those interests are not clearly defined.

A final important official document, where one can find these interests expressed, is the government program, in which an elected government presents the objectives it has set for itself for the duration of its mandate. In the current Portuguese government program, the priorities expressed are: the European Union policies, the relationships with the Portuguese Language Community countries, the Atlantic relation with the United States and NATO, the current mandate in the UN Security Council, the current changes taking place in the Maghreb and the Israelo-Palestinian peace process and re-establishing the links with Portuguese emigrant communities abroad (Presidência do Conselho de Ministros, 2011: 105).

Official documents are not, however, the only source where one can find documentation of national objectives. Indeed, these are usually more clearly expressed in government officials’ statements or in articles written by individuals involved in think tanks. In 2009, for instance, the

---

7 Here the preferences of the politics and society’s perception on the subject will be relevant to order the conflicting interests.
8 The “CNN effect” was presented by Steven Livingstone in a paper in 1997, he states that the importance that the media give to a certain subject will have an impact on policy, acting as an accelerator to the decision making process, as an impediment to certain actions because of the social impact they have or as an agenda setting agency giving relevance to a certain subject that wasn’t a state’s priority to begin with (Livingstone, 1997:2).
9 Perhaps in a future strategic concept Angola will also be singled out due to its increasing importance.
10 The XIXº Constitutional Government.
11 Portugal is one of the non-permanent members of the UN Security Council for the 2011-2012 mandate.
then Minister of Foreign Affairs, Jorge Amado, presented at a conference at the Instituto de Defesa Nacional what he identified as the Portuguese main interests: the promotion of Portugal’s Economic interests abroad, namely by trying to stimulate exports and attract foreign investment; the valorization of the Portuguese language abroad, principally in international organizations, by getting it admitted as one of the United Nations official languages; the involvement of Portugal, in the then developing European Union Foreign Policy; the reinforcement of the Portuguese Speaking Countries Community, mainly its economic and political dimensions; the inter-Atlantic relationship and the then discussed reviewing of the NATO Strategy; the development of the relationship between Portugal and the South American countries, Africa, the Arab States and the developing countries in Southeastern Asia, and China (Amado, 2010: 142-148).

If one analyzes the lists above presented and tries to organize them according to the scale described above, the following list of interests emerges:

- As vital, general, permanent and ultimate interest: the defense of the strategic Portuguese space.
- As important, general, permanent and ultimate interest: the reinforcement of Portugal’s Place in the international (United Nations), regional (European Union and NATO) and political/cultural (Portuguese Speaking Countries Community) organizations. These organizations leverage Portugal’s power, reinforcing its position in their specific area but also giving the Portuguese diplomacy further strength.
- As important, specific (economy), permanent and long term interest: the development of the economical links with countries within Portugal area of strategic interest.
- As secondary, specific (diplomacy), conjectural and short/medium term interest: the reinforcement of the Portuguese diplomacy intervention in Middle East peace process.

This is but a brief analysis that, in real world application, would need to incorporate and include other official statements and documents, as well as the analysis of the geopolitical and geostrategic environment.

A possible application of national power to reach the national interests

In order to achieve proposed national interests, a State must analyze the resources available and apply them as best it can to maximize their success and effectiveness. However, as previously mentioned, power is relative, dependent on many factors, including the subject, the actors involved etc. Nye proposed that power is tridimensional with a military, an economic and a diplomatic vector (Nye, 1999).

Portugal is a small country and is currently undergoing a deep economic crisis, but it still has national interests that it needs to see protected and goals that it needs to achieve. In the face of such a perilous position, Portugal needs more than ever to prioritize those interests in order to have success.

If employing the division of national power as considered by Nye, one finds that Portugal is deeply limited in the first two vectors. Militarily speaking, Portugal is in 46th position in conventional military capacity, between Chile and Venezuela12, however in military spending it is ranked in the 66th position, between Sierra Leone and Uganda13. In the economic vector Portugal presents severe shortcomings with a low growth rate, a high trade deficit and low saving14, being thus limited in using its economy has a foreign policy tool.

The biggest strength that Portugal possesses and has long used to achieve its interests is its diplomatic capability. Through history there have been innumerable examples involving Portuguese diplomacy managing important victories, from the creation of the State to the present day when it won the elections to a non-permanent seat in the United Nations Security Council.

---

12 According to the ranking available at globalfirepower.com consulted on 30SET11.
13 According to the data available in the CIA World Factbook available at cia.gov consulted on 30SET11.
14 According to the data available in the CIA World Factbook the growth rate is of available at cia.gov consulted on 30SET11.
Council for the 2011–2012 mandate, against Canada. The strength of Portuguese diplomacy now as well as in the past, is based on the intelligent use of its soft power, which in the present day is greatly reinforced by the presence of Portugal in several important regional and political organizations. Another strength has been the functional power that Portugal’s government has identified over time and taken advantage of (Moreira, 2000: 60). Included in the utilized resources are the geostrategic position of the Azores archipelago and, more recently, the deployment of military contingents without caveats in operational theaters, such as Afghanistan. Without a significant change in Portugal’s situation, the approach chosen so far appears to be the best choice, and from the goals described in the various documents previously referred to, it is clear that the main concerns are the reinforcement of diplomatic capability and the presence in different international, regional and/or cultural alliances to which Portugal belongs. This approach allows the nation to secure its national and security objectives while simultaneously reinforcing Portugal’s position in the global arena. This heightened presence and influence in these organizations provides Portugal with greater power leverage that it would not otherwise have.

Conclusion

In this article we have reviewed the concepts of national objectives, national interests and national power, presented a possible scale to prioritize the national interests and presented how Portugal uses its national power to defend its interests. A small power such as Portugal has to set clearly its priorities, giving precedence to those that may compromise its national objectives as well as those that may influence its powerbase. Currently, in the case of Portugal, the vital interests, at least in terms of national security, do not seem to be threatened, however, vital and secondary interests are always being discussed. The use of a scale, such as that proposed, allows for the identification of key priorities and reduces bias through the establishment of a scientific approach in discussing such topics. This will result in higher consensus and continuity in the discussion and implementation of National Strategy. One can identify an interest (or four slightly dissimilar interests) for Portugal that need to be set and explained to the public as a national priority, which is the participation and involvement in international, regional and political organizations. Membership in these organizations gives leverage to Portugal, allowing it to secure its position in the world. Participation in NATO, with the deployment of troops for missions and securing needed capabilities, allows Portugal to augment the military power it has available to it for defensive action significantly, securing the integrity of its territory. Likewise, as a member of the European Union and of the Euro zone, the economic potential of Portugal is strengthened. The presence of Portugal in both of these organizations, as well as in the Portuguese Speaking Countries Community, provides Portugal with the principal weapon in its arsenal – diplomatic ability. Only by guarding these interests and maintaining a world system based on international legitimacy and non-violent conduct can Portugal avoid falling from the category of small state to that of “exiguous states” (Moreira, 2009).

This is only a brief approach to a topic that should be at the front of the debate on the strategic concept. Faced with a perilous economic situation, these questions should be deeply analyzed and publicly discussed. The lack of such a debate leads to incomprehension on the part of the population regarding government behavior which has been shown to have a significant effect on the (un)success of a particular policy. A parallel issue to the one introduced that has not been presented in this paper, as it falls beyond its scope, is the importance of the intelligence services in the process. A competent

---

15 Functional power consists in the power that a small state can acquire over a more powerful state because of possession of an important resource or location to this actor (Carvalho, 1986: 23).

16 Professor Adriano Moreira created the term of “exiguous state” to characterize a State that can no longer ensure the purposes for which it was created.
diplomacy needs to be based in correct information to help assist the decision making process. The capacity to anticipate problems is a great advantage particularly for a country whose main strength comes from its diplomacy.
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